UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,791	06/06/2006	Mark Lawrence Williams	1033963-000025	5493
	7590 08/30/201 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE		ANDREWS, LEON T		
ALEXANDRIA	1, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2462	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Eather or of time my be sensible under the provision of 37 FEH1 136(b). In no event however, my a resty be timey filled - If NO period for right is appecified above, the maintain abstract priented will explay and will explay 8.7 (ii) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. - Fallus to right within the sort or exercised parted for right will be presented by the control of the communication. - Fallus to right within the sort or exercised parted for right will be parted will explay and explay 8.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. - Fallus to right within the sort occurred parted for right will be presented by the provision of the parted will explay and explay 8.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. - Fallus to right with the sort occurred parted for right will be presented by the provision of the parted will explay and explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. - Fallus to right with the provision of the maline parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. - Fallus to right with the sort of the parted of the communication of the parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. - Fallus to right with the sort of the parted of the parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maline date of this communication. - Fallus to right with the sort of the parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maline date of this communication. - Fallus to right with the sort of the parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maline date of this communication. - Fallus to right with the sort of the parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the maline date of the parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the parted will be parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the parted will be parted will explay 9.7 (iii) MONTHS from the par		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
LEON ANDREWS 2462 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 NO period for really as condition above. The manning date of the communication. 1 NO period for really as condition above. The manning date of the communication. 2 NO period for really as condition above. The manning date of the communication. 3 NO period for really as condition above. The manning date of the communication. 4 NO period for really as condition above. The manning date of the communication and period patent from adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(b). 5 Notes and the manning date of the communication and period patent from adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(b). 5 Notes are the seed of the communication and period patent from the period patent from adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(b). 5 Notes this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Claim(s) 1.9 is/are allowed. 5 Notes above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Notes above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Notes above claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Deplication Papers 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11 The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10 The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 11 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Office Action Comments	10/581,791	WILLIAMS, MARK	WILLIAMS, MARK LAWRENCE				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Destination for the rapty or evaluation and the provision of 20°PT-1-180°B, in the event, received, may a reply be timely filled. 1 NO certod for reply is a spacified above, the maintain etitlatory quinto will apply and value certod St (3) MONTH is then the maining use of this communication. 1 Pallurs to reply which the set or chemical period for reply will by etitable, captured by a period pallure of the communication, which is provided by the 30°PC 1-10°B. 1 Pallurs to reply which the set or chemical period for reply will be etitable, captured by the set of the communication of the	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and EX AS MONTHS from the mailing side of the corns stated if the prince of early is specified above, the maximum stated prince of the corns stated if the prince of early is specified above, the maximum stated prince of the corns stated if the prince of early is specified above, the maximum stated prince of the corns stated if the prince of early is specified above, the maximum stated prince of the corns stated if the prince of early is specified above, the maximum stated prince of the corns stated of the corns stated patent to the corns stated of the corns stated patent to the corns stated of the corns stated patent to the corns stated patent pate								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE WAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNATION. Edemicro of them may be available under the provisored of 2°FE 1138(b). In no event, however, may a may be through litted after 50 km (s) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 50 km (s) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Faller to legary within the act or extended period for right (s) Little provision of the mailing date of this communication. Paller to legary within the set or extended period for right will by statics, cause the spot bacton become ABANDOSED fold U.S.C. § 133. Any right received by the Official fate that three moraths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fled, may reduce any seamed period to mail date of the communication of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fled, may reduce any seamed period to display the communication of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fled, may reduce any seamed period to display the communication is communication. Paller to reduce the communication of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fled, may reduce any seamed period to the communication of the communication is communication. Paller to reduce the communication of the mailing date of this communication. Paller to reduce the communication of the communication is not communication. Paller to reduce the communication of the communication is not communication. Paller to restrict the communication is communication. Paller to restrict the communication is communication. Paller to restrict the communication of the communication is communication. Paller to restrict the communication is communication. Paller to restrict the communication is communication. Paller to restrict the communication of the communication. Paller to restrict the communication is communication.	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress				
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s)	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	ine 2011						
An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s)	· _ ·							
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.9 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are ejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 10 ☐ Notice of Tratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21 ☐ Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 22 ☐ Other of Internal Papelication	<i>′</i> −		nent set forth during th	e interview on				
4		·	-					
Disposition of Claims 5)		·						
Disposition of Claims 5]	·	•	·					
Solid Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. Sal) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Sal) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Sal) Of Claim(s) is/are allowed. Salo Claim(s) is/are objected to. Salo Claim(s) is/are objected to. Salo Claim(s) is/are objected to pending in the Examiner. Salo Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Salo Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Salo Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Salo Claim(s) is/are: all accepted or bloop objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Salo Claim (salo Claim is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Salo Claim (salo Claim is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Salo Claim (salo Claim (salo Claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Salo Claim (salo Claim (salo Claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Salo Claim (salo Claim (salo Claim for foreign priority documents have been received. Salo Claim (salo Claim for foreign foreign priority documents have been received in Application No. Salo Claim (salo Claim for foreign forei		, ,	•					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
7) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Soliding is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	_							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
-, — ··············· - ·················	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	/lail Date					

Art Unit: 2462

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7-8, line 2 recited the optional language "operative to". In order to present the claims in a better form and to describe positive or required steps/functions to be performed, applicant is suggested to revise the claim language such that the steps/functions which followed "operative to" are required and not optional.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2462

3. Claims 1-2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter APA) of the application, Williams (Pub. No.: US 2008/0310426 A1) in view of Rui et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0220769 A1).

Regarding Claims 1 and 5, APA discloses a network and a method for estimating a system state, the network comprising a plurality of nodes, each node having means for receiving and sending information and means for processing information, and each node being connected to selected other of the network (network where each node is connected for receiving and transmitting to other neighbouring nodes including the processing of information at each node and an estimate of the system state is maintained, [0003], [0008], page 1, lines 3, 1-6), each node including:

particle filter means for maintaining a set of particles and associated weights, which represent an estimate of the system state, and means for updating the set when new information is available (particle filter where set of particles representative of system state where weight is assigned to each particle and estimate of the state is by the weighted particles with update at time of availability, [0003], page 1, lines 1-14),

means for representing the estimating system state as a mixture of Gaussian distributions, and means for communicating said mixture to neighbouring nodes (set of particles representative of a system state where the state with observations have Gaussian function, and the network wherein each node receives and transmits information to neighbouring nodes, [0004], [0008], page 1, lines 1-5, 1-4),

Art Unit: 2462

said means for updating, being responsive to receiving said mixture from a neighbouring node, for updating its estimate of the system state (update at time the system becomes available to update the particles representative of the system state where weight assigned to each particle and an estimate of the state obtained by the particles distribution function with the particle filtering by means of observation with sensors have the Gaussian measurement function and processing carried out at the nodes and exchanged between neighbouring nodes, [0003], [0004], [0012], page 1, lines 3-16, 1-5, 2-5).

APA fails to specifically disclose estimated state as a mixture of Gaussian distribution.

But, the Rui et al. discloses estimated states are in the form of Gaussian distribution,

paragraph [0012], page 2, lines 1-5.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rui et al.'s limitation because this would have allowed the state estimates to be in the form of Gaussian distribution, paragraph [0012], page 2, lines 4-5.

Regarding Claim 2, APA discloses a method of claim 1, wherein said updating, at each node, is carried out by resampling the particles comprising providing new weights for each particle comprising a said mixture of Gaussian distributions received from the neighbouring node, divided by said mixture of Gaussian distributions formed from the existing particle set in the node (update resampling particles with weight where the particle have Gaussian measurement function and new weights are assigned to each particle according to the state given in the

Art Unit: 2462

measurement calculated in the phase with the particle processing carried out at the node, [0003], [0004], [0012], page 1, liens 13-16, 1-13, 2-5).

APA fails to specifically disclose estimated state as a mixture of Gaussian distribution.

But, the Rui et al. discloses estimated states are in the form of Gaussian distribution, paragraph [0012], page 2, lines 1-5.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rui et al.'s limitation because this would have allowed the state estimates to be in the form of Gaussian distribution, paragraph [0012], page 2, lines 4-5.

4. **Claims 3 and 8-9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter APA) of the application in view of Rui et al. and Mookerjee et al. (Patent No.; US 7,180,443 B1).

Regarding Claims 3 and 8, APA discloses a network and a method, wherein said means for communicating is operative to transmit each Gaussian distribution of said mixture as signals representing the mean and covariance of the distribution.

The combination of APA and Rui et al. fails to specifically disclose Gaussian distribution defined to have covariance achievable by the weighting the states with measurement at each update, column 9, lines 14-23, Fig. 3, 326, update state estimate, covariance.

But, the Mookerjee et al. discloses Gaussian distribution defined to have covariance achievable by the weighting the states with measurement at each update, column 9, lines 14-23, Fig. 3, input covariance 316, update state estimate, covariance 326.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Mookerjee et al.'s limitation because this would have allowed the Gaussian distribution defined to have covariance, column 9, lines 14-20.

Regarding Claim 9, APA discloses a network as claimed in claim 5, wherein each node is a sensor (each node corresponds to a senor, [0012], page 1, lines 3-4) for tracking aircraft.

The combination of APA and Rui et al. fails to specifically disclose node for tracking aircraft.

But, the Mookerjee et al. discloses Fig. 1, tracking system tracks an aircraft target 12 using a radar system (sensor), column 1, lines 18-20.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use APA's limitation because this would have allowed the radar system (sensor node) to track an aircraft, column 1, liens 18-19.

Art Unit: 2462

5. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter APA) of the application in view of Rui et al. and Behroozi (Pub. No.: US 2005/0226179 A1).

Regarding Claims 4 and 6, APA et al. discloses a network and a method, wherein a communication port of each node includes a channel filter.

The combination of APA and Rui et al. fails to specifically disclose node includes channel filter.

But, Behroozi discloses node includes channel filtering, [0025], page 2, lines 1-2.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Behroozi's limitation because this would have allowed the node to provide filtering of the signal, [0025], page 2, lines 8-10.

6. **Claim 7** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter APA) of the application in view of Rui et al. and Behroozi.

Regarding Claim 7, APA discloses a network of claim 6, wherein said channel filter is operative to compute new weights for each particle in a resampling operation, the new weights comprising said mixture of Gaussian distributions communicated to the node, divided by said mixture of Gaussian distributions representing the existing particle set at said node (resampling particles with weight where the particle have Gaussian measurement function and new weights

Art Unit: 2462

are assigned to each particle according to the state given in the measurement calculated in the phase with the particle processing carried out at the node, [0003], [0004], [0012], page 1, liens 13-16, 1-13, 2-5).

The combination of APA and Behroozi fails to specifically disclose mixture of Gaussian distribution.

But, the Rui et al. discloses estimated states are in the form of Gaussian distribution, paragraph [0012], page 2, lines 1-5.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rui et al.'s limitation because this would have allowed the state estimates to be in the form of Gaussian distribution, paragraph [0012], page 2, lines 4-5.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 9, 2011 have been considered. But, the arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejections with the use of new prior art in the current prosecution of the claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEON ANDREWS whose telephone number is (571)270-1801. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rao S. Seema can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2462

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leon Andrews/ Examiner, Art Unit 2462 August 23, 2011

/Kevin C. Harper/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2462